

pp F IX 4

Previous References

- 168-25, 16-10
126-26, 1-2
65-30, 1-20

Later References

ml 135-34-10 71
ml 81-368 10 7-15

1930-31

(ml)

File No 65-30

Serial Nos 21, 22, 23, 24, 25
26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32
and 33

PRESERVED

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 12

Part B for February 1934

SUBJECT

Financing the water supply scheme
of the Channarayana Town

Sl(12) The Sec of Health -
By Commr Bangalore Dist -
Comptroller to Govt through & &
Registration of Co-operative Societies.

No L 5940-43 of 5-2-34

Sanctions the water supply scheme above,
estimated to cost Rs. 56,400/- and waive the
EST - tools & plant charges which are amount to Rs 7,400/-

R 2 34

File No

65 of 1930

Serial
No

21

Letter no D3 2197 / 27-28 dated 9/3/32 from the Director of Industries & Commerce Mysore, stating that out of Rs 2000/- placed at his disposal in connection with the sinking of a bore well at Channarayana, a sum of Rs 997-1-4 due by the municipality on account of putting down bore wells was adjusted and the balance of Rs 1002-14-8 has been kept in the State Treasury in his personal deposit account and requesting that as the scheme proposed by the Industrial Survey may now go up to Rs 4000/- whether the amount of Rs 1002-14-8 has to be returned to the municipality or credited to the Treasury and whether the installation of a pump may be taken up.

1. The letter to the Govt no L 6256-9 dated 6/5/30 sanctioning a sum of Rs 4000/- for the installation of a power pump to the 200 feet bore well at Channarayana, is put up.

2. The Director states that while steps were being taken to get the machinery the Crescent of Channarayana met & informed him not to proceed with the work till orders of Govt are passed regarding putting down bore wells near Channarayana river bed.

3. The proposals for the construction of a water supply scheme to Channarayana town was ordered to be over for the present.

4. The Director of the State states that out of the sum of Rs 2000/- placed at his disposal for the

3145
L

File No 65

of 1930

General and Revenue
Secretariat

installation of the power pump, a sum of Rs 1000-14-8
is required and the expenditure is required

1) whether the balance of Rs 1000-14-8 may be
returned to the Municipality or credited to
the Treasury

2) whether the installation of the pump ^{to give relief}
may be taken up, in which case an

additional sum of Rs 3000 will have to be
placed at his disposal to execute the scheme.
Submitted for orders

2/3/32 5 Para 63 to 66 of the note in
refile 65-30 show that the Comptroller

Scheme of local supply to Channapattana Farm was
advised to be given 'for the present' on financial grounds.
The Dy. Commr's letter no C 2 3663/30 31 of 12 5 31, para 1,
also shows that the scheme proposed by the Dy. Commr
for supply of water to the farm from borewells was not
approved by the Municipal Council. It is not

therefore clear if there is any work at all to be
done in this connection, the cost on account of which

has been met out of the amount left with the
Dy. Commr only Rs 2000 - given by Govt

to the Municipality. The question raised by the Dy.
Commr ^{concerning the Dy. Commr} can be answered only after ~~ascertaining~~ what the present

position is with regard to water supply arrangements in
the farm & whether the scheme of supply from borewells

is going to be pursued, pending the adoption of the Comptroller's
scheme later on.

For order
(See Secy)

and if so to suggest ways and means
6) Yes Address the Dy. Commr


10th 9/4/32

GENERAL SECRETARY
4 APR 1932
No. 6652

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

65 of 1930

Serial
No

6

Submitted with - draft for approval

83/9
12/4/328 Issue
for
14/4

Q2. I issued not 7105 of 19432 to the Bangalore
Engineers what the present position is with regard
to water supply arrangements in the town and whether
the scheme submitted from Borewells is going to be pursued
pending adoption of the Comprehensive Scheme later on
and if so to suggest ways & means

8/10/32
20/4

Q1

Form

The City Commr Bangalore

Letter no C2-4839 of 30.6.1932

States that the ml council have decided to work
the borewell near Kummakote at an estimated cost
of Rs 3900 and that they have advanced Rs 100/-
to test the yield of the well and that pending the
mlty have in addition to the well decided to
supply water to the other part of the extension
in Mangalwarpet at a cost of Rs 4000/- of which
half the cost will be met by the mlty and requests
govt to make a contribution of Rs 1000/- to
meet half the cost of the two estimates - and
to make addition to the sum of Rs 3002-00
already sanctioned

9 Out of Rs 4000/- sanctioned by govt the mlty
draw only Rs 2000/- on 14.6.31 since the
full account has not been drawn, the balance

should be deemed to have passed out of Rs 2000/-
 Tanna, a sum of Rs 997-1-4 has been utilized
 by the Dir of Industries and the balance is at his
 personal credit. The amount that is actually
 available is only Rs 1002-14 8. Since the
 mltg have decided to ~~not~~ extend the
 scheme of another extension - mangalavatu
 at a cost of Rs 4000/- and the present
 scheme on hand is estimated to cost Rs 3900/-
 the total cost comes to Rs 8000/- imply the
 mltg pray that half the cost may be met
 by Govt. If this request is viewed favourably
 the grant that would have to be made by
 Govt comes to (Rs 4000 - 1000 for land) Rs 3000/-
 and not Rs 1000/- as stated by the officer.

this question
is not now
for a decision
it is

The grants under water supply to Tanna
 has been proposed to be distributed as follows

Tiptera	Rs 8000
Parapara	500
Simpofatan	6600
Koror	9900
	<u>25000</u>

Unless an additional grant is sanctioned
 or some amount is withdrawn from
 Tanna improvement grant there is no
 source to meet the cost.

And for order. If there is no separate provision

in the Budget for Porewells & Canals
 the amount required from that source?

Am
21/7

17/7/32

Serial
No

P

Kind refer is invited to para D10722 47/ 97c
40827-18728 3 1930 wherein it is directed
that cost on account of borewells be met out
of drinking water wells grants and 30 pounds
for Public Improvements and that half of the
grant under drinking water wells may be
set apart for borewells since the grant
is under operation of the Bureau of Sanitary
Engineering. The Sanitary Engineer may
perhaps be consulted there is a provision of Rs 5000
(50,000) under the head in the consolidated list for 1931

27/7/32

11) The amount that will have been
spent for the Kumbhalgarh Borewell scheme
is Rs 3000 (and not Rs 1000 as stated by the
Dy Commr as the undrawn grant of Rs 2000 has lapsed)

12) The Sanitary Eng^r may be consulted as
proposed above

Secy (Secy)

13)

Please do

Rg

2/8/32

30/7

2/8

14)

Forwarded, unopposedly with compliments to
the Sanitary Engineer R 7, Bureau of Sanitary
Engineering for favour of opinion

By order


Asubvaca

for general info

G.O. No 1873233

dsy

16th Aug 1932



3/8/32

~~Returned, with compliments, to the
General Secretary to Government
It is noted that Govt have
already advanced Rs 2,000 to
Chunnapatna for a bore well
scheme for 1,002-14 ft of which
is still unexpended and is deposited
in the State Treasury~~

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
BUREAU OF SANITARY ENGINEERING

J V KARVE MB ChB DPH
Director of Health

H F MARKER Esq LCE MR SM I
Executive Engineer

A S C E
J J MITLDAZIS BSc CE /
Resident Engineer

D O No SE 167

Encl

one file
GENERAL SECRETARY
9 AUG 1932

Sir,

Re Borewell water supply scheme to
CHENNAPATNA TOWN

GRACE VILLE PALACE ROAD

Bangalore (S India) dated August 8, 1932

I am returning herewith file No 18 of 32-23/L S G
dated 4th August 1932 on the above subject

16) It is noted that Government have already advanced
Rs 2,000/- to the Chennapatna Municipality for a borewell
scheme for water supply, Rs 1,002-14-8 of which is still
unexpended and is deposited in the State Mutual Treasury

17) A small water supply scheme from the borewell near
Kurnirakatte providing for 12' stroke Lyster's deep well pump,
a reservoir, pipe line and taps has been estimated to cost
Rs 3,900/- The total cost of the water supply scheme,
including Rs 997-1-4 expended by the Industries Department
for the construction of the bore, comes to about Rs 4,900/-
The Municipality hopes to have this scheme installed for
the by Government without their contributing one pie


18) The seriousness of the drinking water supply to the
town is appreciated by the Municipal Council and was demon-
strated by their deputation to the Dewan on this important
question. The small scheme proposed while not a solution
of the entire problem provides for drinking water to at least
a portion of the town and if executed would encourage the
Municipality to provide for the comprehensive scheme from
the Kaveri river or to increase the supply from the borewell

General Secretary to
Government

August 8, 1932

12/ I am sure that Government would not be agreeable to financing the whole of this small scheme for the Municipality and I would suggest that the usual procedure of Government, i.e., to finance half the cost of the scheme the Municipality concerned to provide the other half, be applied to this case. The Municipality therefore will have to find Rs 2,500/- which should not be difficult in view of the benefits to be derived from the scheme, while Government need provide only an additional Rs 500/- which can possibly be met from '30 Grants for Public Improvements'.

Sincerely yours,


J. J. Ieldazis,
SANITARY ENGINEER

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e

Water supply to Chennapattana from Kinnerasani tank

General and Revenue
Secretariat

[App F III-2

File No 65

of 1936 21

Serial
No

20) Please put up the file containing G.O. no L 6256 9-11-65-30 3 D 30 1 1931

Urgent
10/8

21)

5 used with the file in 3 is flagged D

22)

The Govt order of 30/31 sanctioned the whole amount of Rs 4000 required for the work from the Gal + funds as the Mch. Board members to contribute anything towards it. Of this amount a sum of Rs 2000 was drawn & the balance lapsed as the work was not pursued. It is now proposed to take it up & complete it & it is estimated to cost Rs 4900 on the whole including Rs 997 and odd spent by the Industries Dept. Towards the balance of about Rs 4000 required for the work the Mch. have about Rs 1000 on hand out of the sum of Rs 2000 drawn by them & want the balance of Rs 3000 to be paid by Govt as they have to finance another scheme for water supply to another part of the town and the Dy. Comm. recommends the grant. It is assumed by him that the undrawn amount of Rs 2000 out of the sum of Rs 4000 sanctioned in the said G.O. is still available for the purpose & that the addl sum required is only Rs 1000. The assumption is not correct and the whole amount of Rs 3000 will have to be sanctioned in case the Dy. Comm. recommendation is accepted.

23 The San. Engineer however suggests that the usual procedure of Govt financing half the

NOTE—Contn Sheet

in AA) 8712132

DEWANS MINUTE
FILE No 193

DEWANS MINUTE
10 AUG 1932
GEN & REV DEPT
7/11
13 AUG 1932
L & G BRANCH

28) Channapatna works supply

Has any thing further been done in
the matter?

It should discuss the matter with
the Maup's & other leaders in the process
& see if the scheme cannot be put
through at an early date

Mrs
13-8-32

29 Mrs - urgent

13/8/32
Am
19/9

30) Subd The connected file is before the Secy

Secy
Am
13/8

124

31) I have subd this to the Secy member
today on which, please send it to the Secy

32) The file was received on 1.7.11.32
and was issued on 17-11-32

13/8/32

33) Secy can't has been informed that it is not
possible to grant anything more than Rs 2000/- and that this
amount will have to be allotted afresh if the next council will meet
the sum of Rs 2000/- towards the scheme. A reply is not awaited

34) 23/11/32

Serial
No

GENERAL SECRETARY
141 100

TYPING SECTION
15 OCT 1932
REV. SECT

34) The draft to the Dy Commr - may be approved
35) The sum of Rs 2000 - will have to be met from the Reserve of Rs 20,000 and the proposed to be kept by the San't Engineer out of the Town Improvement Grant for the current year. This may be done later on after hearing from the Dy Commr that the M.C.C. is prepared to meet the balance of Rs 1000 & after consulting the San't Engineer.

Secy (Secy)

14/11

14/11

36) Issue draft 15/11

35) The Mr. Argue

3432 of 17-11-32 states that it is not possible to find out any more than Rs 2000, which remains undrawn, and that the balance of Rs 1000 will have to be found by the M.C.C.

Mr A

26/11/32

26

From
The Deputy Commr B'lore dt
Letter no C 2 4839 of 17 11-1932

Forwards a revised estimate amounting to Rs 56,400 for the permanent water supply to Behenraopetna town and requests sanction for the same and for the contribution of half the cost by Govt.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

7) The scheme is estimated to cost Rs 56,400. The Sanitary Engineer has proposed to the ml Council that a sum of Rs 21,000 will be paid to the ml Council as a loan repayable in 10 years and a sum of Rs 7,400, being Exk Tools and Plant charges will be waived, ^{and that} ~~while~~ the ml Council will have to provide immediately a sum of Rs 28,000 for carrying out the scheme. The ml Council on the other hand have resolved that Govt may be pleased to contribute $\frac{1}{2}$ of the cost of the estimate i.e. Rs 28,400 (Rs 21,000 by grant and 7,400 by waiving Exk Tools and Plant charges) ^{and Govt} ~~while~~ the other half of the cost of the estimate ~~will be~~ met by the Council as follows:

- (1) Rs 10,000 by sale of war loan bonds
- (2) Rs 1,000 from the reserve of cooperative societies
- (3) Rs 8,000 by sale of sites in the mills

38) As regards (1) the bonds have been mortgaged to ~~Govt~~ ^{Govt} towards the loan of Rs 8,000 sanctioned by Govt for the construction of the town hall out of which Rs 2,000 have been paid up.

39) As regards (2) as per rules of the cooperative societies more than 15% of the Reserve cannot be operated upon and it is reported that as the societies have only a reserve of Rs 21,000 more than Rs 3,150 cannot be expected. If found more, the Coop Socy rules will have to be amended. The Govt Secy may be consulted.

Vide No of 16422
Jas 5

Govt for consideration
if the bonds can be released for sale

Chennai water supply

2441

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

of 193

[App F III-2

Serial
No

As regards (3) the amount stated is only expectation and not yet realized.

40) As regards the request of the ml council that half the cost may be borne by govt ^{it is for order when as proposed} by the Sanitary Engineer, Enk Plant & Tools charges amounting to Rs 7402 may ~~perhaps~~ be waived and whether in ^{new} the commitments already made by govt - any amount can be provided for the purpose in future budgets

Ans for orders

9/12/32

42) please furnish info re relating to the grant of Rs 8000 for the construction of the tank hall at the head of the State Loan bond and to the mlc

19/12

43) ml rule 468-25 (1-46) is put up for this spec

19/12

44) The Municipal Council have apparently given up the cheaper scheme ~~proposed~~

referred to in the Dy Commr's letter of 30.6.32

45) The proposals now made are

Summarised in the notes above & are for adn

Genl Secy

20/12

46) I do not think these proposals can be considered at least during the present financial emergency.

17 December

WDV-CBPM-10000

for Secy

14/12
20/12

1) There are no funds during the current year from which the sum of Rs 21,000 can be granted. The water supply grant of Rs 25,000 is earmarked for other commitments & the reserve of about Rs 20,000 out of the Town Supply grant is necessary for meeting many other demands from the Dy Commr.

The Genl Secy may be consulted

21/12/32

Recd 17/12

no no in the

GENERAL SECRETARY
20 DEC 1932
No

11 186 32 h 21 12

NITL-Contn Sheet

47) This is the Municipality which has defaulted in the payment of Elighting charges - ~~but for~~ Neither the Municipality nor the Govt can think of extra Exps in the present financial condition -

48) The proposal has therefore to be deferred

23/12/32

24/12/32

49) The G^L Sec^y will kindly speak to me about this, esp^{ly} Deवास minute 27/13 & 32

1/12/32

50) Instrⁿ 7 II Hence obtained the D.C may be intimated that on financial considerations it may not be possible to sanction this scheme and asked whether it would not be better to press forward the ~~2nd~~ cheaper scheme referred to in the off letter no 3432 dat 17-11-32 to be seen

13/1/33

16/1/33


16/1/33

51) A draft letter to the D.C Bangalore district is sub^d for approval accordingly

for CB
17/1/33

52) Issue

22/1/33



Serial
No

27

To Mr D C. Bangalore Dist
no 24787 dated 24/1/33

Requests him to intimate in
consultation with the Municipal Council
of Channarayana whether it would not be
better to press forward the scheme re
installation of a pump for the borewell
lump beneath the Kannikatte at
Channarayana

✓
S. R.
1/33

18 Letter no C-2 4833/31-32 of 6-6-33
from Mr D. C. Bangalore re reporting that the
M.C. have resolved to take up the
water supply scheme costing Rs 56,400, and
that the M.C. has made certain
proposals for funding them should the
M.C. and the proposals are under
examination

53) A further reply from the M.C. can
may be awaited

54) Had not ref to para 50 of the
notes supra

24/6 55) He further communication -
the matter may be awaited, if advised

CB 56) For perusal of the Dy Commr letter
19/6 Refer para 49 & 50
II member AM 24/6



27/1/33
24/6

Chemapattinam water supply 1935
File No. 65- 4684 175 of 1930

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Letter no C 2 6839 / 31-32 of 25 29
in the to, request submitting the
proposals of the Chemapattinam mch in the
matter of financing the water supply scheme
estimated to cost Rs 56,400/

Q) The mch propose to meet their share
of Rs 18,000 from three sources

(1) Funds invested in the war loan bonds
which are held as security by the mch for
the loan of Rs 8000 taken by the mch for
the construction of the town hall

26/2

(2) from the reserve funds of the cooperative societies
(3) amount anticipated by the mch from the
sale of land and sites

Q) We recommend sanction for releasing
the loan bonds for Rs 10,000 held as security
for the loan taken for the town hall and
for permitting the cooperative societies as a
special case to contribute Rs 5000 from
each of the societies from the Reserve fund

to be met with respect to paras 50 & 53 of the rules
infra
9/11/35

File No 65-21 of 1930

Serial
No

61 The proposals of the Municipal Council referred to on the last page for ~~proposed~~ ^{finding} a moiety of the cost of the Scheme by the Council can be considered only after the question of Govt meeting the other moiety or a small share - & sparing the - requests funds this year is decided. The Director of Health may be consulted unofficially in the matter first. ~~with reference to the Govt share in the~~

Secy (Secy)

ltm
6/11

Office to	LT
Code	LT
Run for d + 1	10-11-33
G R No	585
File No	
Despatch No	

62

Y is ltm 7/11
ltm 5/11

200099-33
9-11-33

63 Forwarded with compliments unofficially to the Director of Health for further opinion

By order
Asuleberas
Subul Secy to Govt
m

CS85-2
10/11/33

64

Retransmitted with compliments to the General Secretary to Government

65 As per present scheme the total cost is Rs 56,400/ The Municipality want the work to be executed by the Bureau of Sanitary Engineering and are agreeable to place at the disposal of the Bureau a sum of Rs 28,000

NOTE—Cont'n Sheet

Half the estimate comes to Rs 28,200/- and the Local Body may not object to pay the extra Rs 200/- being a very small sum

As to the Government share of Rs 28,200/- recommendations have already been made

1 That tools and plant charges amounting to Rs 7,400/- at 18 per cent may be waived as a special case

2 That the cast iron pipes may be loaned to the Municipality (the cost of which now is Rs 20,800/-)

In the original recommendation it was suggested that the pipes may be got from Bhadravati Iron Works and they may be paid the cost in 10 years

It is now proposed that the Iron Works may be paid Rs 5,000/- from this year's grant and the balance in the three succeeding years

It is noted that the Iron Works are agreeable to this arrangement. They are

It will be possible to find the Rs 5,000/- from the reserve under 30 Grants for Public Improvements, Improvement of towns and minor municipalities. Due allowance will be made for commitments in the succeeding 3 years

The tools and plant charges may be treated as Government contribution but it should not be counted against the Budget grant under 30 Grants for Public Improvements. A similar procedure has been adopted in the case of French Rocks Water Supply scheme recently sanctioned

It is urged that the above proposal may be accepted and the estimate sanctioned very early

Director of Health

Serial
No

A

72

The Fin Secy may be consulted regarding the arrangements proposed by the Dir for the meeting the Govt share of the cost of the scheme — which is taken as one half

73

Neither the estimate nor the ^{by Govt letter} ~~proposal~~ gives information as to the annual maintenance charges and as to how they will be met this may perhaps be ascertained later

74

Other points to be considered are —

- (1) Release of the Govt loan bonds of Rs 10,000 held by the HES — now given as security for the loan of Rs 8,000 taken from Govt for building the Town Hall Rs 4,000 are now stated to have been paid up (under the loan Under, S.O no L 6457-60 dt 16 4 1932 the interest realised on these bonds has to be credited to Govt as it accrues)

X

B

75 The Fin Secy may be consulted regarding the release of the bonds

76 (11)

Contributions by the two Co-operative Societies at Channapattana of Rs 5,000 each from their Reserve Funds as a special case, instead of only 55% thereof as per rule. On this point the Development Branch may be consulted

1317 33511 //

Fin Secy)

AM
11/11

Fin Secy is on leave

For favor opinion re A & B above

Fin Secy)

AM
13/11

File No 65

of 1930

General and Revenue
Secretariat

JK 1317

27 A The Finance Department has stated more than once that it is not desirable to waive the recovery of Establishment and Tools and Plant Charges and even where these charges are treated as contribution by Government, they should be adjusted as such in the Accounts

29 It has to be ascertained from the General Manager, Iron Works whether he is agreeable to receive the value of pipes in annual instalments of Rs 5,000/- each and if this is agreed to whether it will be possible for Government to meet this sum every year out of the normal grant taking into account the grants sanctioned so far for other works (Holenarsipur Water Supply Scheme etc) has to be considered by the L S G Branch

30 B The State Loan Bonds cannot be released unless the balance of loan due by the Municipality is arranged to be paid immediately or is agreed to be adjusted from the value realised by the sale of the bonds

31 As stated in para 47 of the Notes this Municipality has defaulted in the payment of dues to the Electrical Department for want of funds and it is undesirable to sanction the estimate unless the financial condition of the Municipality is reviewed and it is definitely ascertained that the Municipality will be able to meet its quota of the initial cost and the annual maintenance charges without curtailing its other normal activities

30/12/33
31/1/33
1/12

83) A 57 lb person speak
17 hr 1/2

§ 6 Regarding the mill's purposes, our machine
is share of the cost the Ins. Secy has stated that the
State Loan Board, at the value of \$150,000 cannot

File No 65

of 1930

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No

released unless the balance of the loan due on account of the ~~water~~ Jarn Hall is agreed to be adjusted from the sale proceeds. If this is done and the balance of the loan via 4000 Rs is adjusted the money available for the water supply scheme will be reduced to that extent.

87 The proposal of the M.C.C. also involves the grant of a special concession to ^{each of} the two co-op societies in the town to give a larger ~~area~~ amount, viz Rs 5000 from their reserve funds, against Rs 3850 payable under the rule from both the societies.

88 The expectation of the M.C.C. to raise Rs 8000 by the sale of sites in the town is not also one, ^{which can be} said to be ~~valuable~~ ^{valuable} with certainty. The scheme of the Council for the sale of sites sent to Govt has not been sanctioned yet & it is not clear ^{what} ~~what~~ amount will be ^{actually} realized by the sale.

89 In the last para of his note the Secy says that unless the financial condition of the M.C.C. is reviewed & its ability to meet the ~~gross~~ ^{gross} initial cost & the annual maintenance charges is definitely ascertained it is not desirable to sanction the scheme. Regarding the revenue portion of the M.C.C. reference is made to para 348 of the notes in the linked file. The normal income & the normal expenditure estimated for the current year almost balance each other and the only possibility of the normal income being increased is by the revision of assessment which is now going on and by the levy of water tax, which has not been contemplated yet.

90 Subd as directed

Secy (Secy)

5/12

Further information has been called for from the Secy, Govt in the matter.

Orders have been issued sanctioning the sale of the sites in the town.

+ Flag E (checked)

GENERAL SECRETARY
5 DEC 1933
No 36

Chemical and other supply

General and Revenue
Secretariat


24 (File No) 65 of 1930

App. III-2

Serial
No

91

The difficulties in the way of
according sanction to
his proposal of the Mty
are explained in the
above note which has
been put up under my
instructions




II (D) (number)

Itm
7 12-33

92 What is the amount
to be incurred for maintaining the water
supply scheme of Sanct- are there
any proposals to meet that charge?

Sbr
12/12

93 *sup 12/30*
Please put up details
calling for this information
12/12



94 Subt engineering notes

2nd copy

95 Issue & resubmit
12/12

30

To receive, Bangalore

4096 of 15-2-33

calls for information re the annual maintenance

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Chempattur rule 2128 scheme
1955

File No

65

of 1950

General and Revenue
Secretariat

charges and has the incl. before to meet
the same

Renew after issue Apr 1955

2w
15/12

~~John W. D. Cant. Bangalore~~

DEWANS MINUTES

FILE No

193

2922

DEWANI
801545
12 DEC 1933
FCE

Channapattu Wala Supply

97 What has happened to the scheme

Mo

15-12-33

General Secy)

98 Said the file in person to the Dewan & explained the position and as instructed by him Subd to the U Damber who said he would meet the DC and the Real Secy ^{+ San Eng} some time after the next LC session to see if a feasible plan of financing the project could not be arrived.

to the Damber
said to
meet him
1st week of
Jan'y to
discuss this
Internally
accl'y
15/12
22/12

Please forward
to East D. Office
for
22/12

GENERAL SECY
21 DEC 1933
No 928

Remount
again after
LC is over
17/12

Pro. present to speak
for
16/12

100 Remand

21/12 (San' Secy)

17/12

16/12/33

for
16/12

for 12/12/33

for
21/12

2922 - - - 35-23

Serial
No

From the Dy Commr, Bangalore

No C 24839/31-32 D 23134

States that the annual maintenance charges of the Channarayana Water Supply Scheme are estimated to come to Rs 4600, which the Municipal Council propose to meet from the additional revenue expected under (1) revision of taxes (2) levy of water rate & (3) levy of fees on milk & vegetable etc

101 A draft G.O. sanctioning the Scheme is put up for consideration according to the instructions of the General Secretary. It may be for typed at once

25/1

102 Typed draft is to be put up for consideration

25/1 AM

Genl Secy

25/1

103 The question was discussed by the II Member with the Deputy Commr, Genl Secy, Dy Commr Health & the San'y Engineer on the 22nd instant & the draft is in accordance with the conclusions arrived at in the meeting. This is to be put up for approval.

II Member

Genl Secy

Dewan

26134

26 JAN 1931
No 705

3523
2

104 This Municipality owes a large sum nearly 58,000 Rs to Govt for Electrical Power - As the only source from which this can be paid is the Revenue of the Municipality, ~~it cannot be~~ ^{have the} proper arrangements ~~are~~ made for this payment, ~~decreased~~ before the resources of the Municipality - present & prospective - can be allowed to be used for a new liability viz the Water Supply Scheme -

105 Waiving of the Centage Charges is not financially correct or acceptable as I have pointed out in ^{the} similar cases

106 The proposal to spread ~~over~~ the Government Contribution over the next four years is also financially ^{not} acceptable as it results in liabilities of the Current Year being thrown forward over future years - This arrangement, should, if at all be ^{extended} ~~not~~ only in Extraordinary cases -

Whatever contribution Govt ~~is~~ agree to pay in this case, may be paid during the current & next years by which time the work may be expected to be completed - In the case of Tiptur also I understand it was paid in 2 years

107. Although I was present in the meeting I cannot help recording these points of principle

Genl Secy)
I Dmbar)

M
27-1-34


30/1/34

31/1/34

GENERAL SECRETARY
31 JAN 1934
No 839
OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF LOU C
31 JAN 1934
10 4624

Serial
No

2, 6 & 4



108 The draft order maybe. appro
I must say that the 7th Feb last note
somewhat surprises me He was present at
my request at the meeting & finally
seem agreed with the conclusions arrived at


109 On the two^{main} point now noted
it was pointed out that water was is even
more imp't than electric power & which
separate financial ac'ts are being
separately considered The question of
centage charges was fully discussed, the
Dept suggesting a 9% reduction of the charge
to 7 1/2 / instead of 18 1/2 p-c. It was at
the last agreed finally that once a demand
is necessary, the whole charges maybe
waived as a 5% case in this instance
as has been done previously in regard
to in a few other cases.

110 There is no objⁿ to pay
the Govt Contⁿ in two years^{yearly} but the
San't Com^t pointed out that this wd
only lessen the grants for other small
towns which are in urgent need of water
supply - There is no serious fl^y objⁿ to
the spreading of the 94p^{re} over 2 or 3 years

Devan)

mo
1-2-39

Spd
1/2/34
Hm
1/2/34
Hm
2234



* File No. ML

65 3523
5

of 1930

General and Revenue
Secretary

Fl Com 1186 of 5/2/34

The Fl Secy has not agreed (Vide para
104 supra) Dft + fair subd

for perusal

Fl Secy) 5/2/34

Landed ML done
5 5/2

To The Dir of Health

" D E Baugalar Dt

" Comptroller Attn Fl Sectt

" Regn of Cooperative Societies

" Th L 5940-3 dated 5-2-34

Sandwich the scheme of the proposals of the
ML 11 for meeting their share of the cost of the
annual maintenance charges

33

B
14/2

~~ML 107-37-13~~

RECEIVED

WORK 11/33/ML 65-30-2

12/1/30 1272 1760 1760 1760

The question appears to have been dealt with in the Municipal Section, this may therefore be transferred to the L S & Branch

2-12/30-1289 with Compt
if this may be transferred to you

ML 107-37-13
282413

14-10-31-15
7324
Tel 65-30-21
T elegraphic Add - INDUSCOM

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE

I reply plea of t

N D3-2197/27-28

L 1

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

Dated Bangalore 2nd March, 1932

FROM

N RAMA RAO Esq BA BI

Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore

Bangalore (India)

DEPARTMENT SECRETARY

10 MAR

To

B T Kesaviengar, Esq, BA,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore

SIR


With reference to G O No L 6256-9/L 65-30-3 dated 30-1-1931 sanctioning a sum of Rs 4000/- out of "30 Grants for the installation of a power pump to the 200 ft borewell sunk at Channapatna and to meet the cost of the borewell, I have the honour to state that the President, Town Municipal Council, Channapatna had drawn and paid Rs 2000/- out of the above sanctioned amount in March 1931 on the authority of the Deputy Commissioner who has intimated that the balance might be drawn by this office. While we were taking steps to get the machinery, the President informed in his letter No Lis 688/30-31 dated 26-4-1931 that this work need not be proceeded with till orders of Government are passed on the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, regarding putting down borewells near Kanva river bed.

Out of the sum of Rs 2000/- placed at my disposal Rs 997-1-4 due by the Municipality on account of putting down borewells was adjusted and there is a balance of Rs 1002-14-8 kept in my personal deposit account in the State Huzur Treasury.

The scheme proposed by the Industrial Engineer then amounted to Rs 3250/- but now due to fluctuations of the market may go up to Rs 4000/- I solicit orders of Government whether the amount available with me has to be returned to the Municipality or credited to the serv

Treasury and whether the installation of the pump to give relief to a portion of the town may be taken up In this event an additional sum of R 3000/- has to be placed at my disposal to - execute the scheme

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,


DIRECTOR
mas

ks)4

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section *ml*

File No 65-306

Serial No *67 21*

Diary No of letter repl ed to *60725*

General and Revenue Secretariat

(*ml*)

the 193

Date of issue *019-4-32*

Signed by *Mr D S Rao*

List of enclosures

the existing bore well may be pursued to full extent to a portion of the town
Copied by *for the work in bore wells the above may perhaps be*
Examined by *may*

No *L 7105*

To The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore district

I am directed to forward herewith
Copy of letter no Dg-2197/27-28 dated
9/3/32 from the Director of the
soliciting orders as to whether
the balance ^{remaining unpaid} ~~due~~ amount placed at
his disposal in connection with
installation of a power pump to an
200ft borewell sunk at Channarayana
I am directed to enquire what the
present position is with regard to
water supply arrangements in the town
and whether the scheme of supply for
(borewells is going to be pursued) for
the adoption of the Comprehensive
scheme later on, and if so to suggest

For 14/4
General Secy to Govt
8/12/4

INDUSCOM
I reply to
No D3 2197/27-28
En

65-30-23
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE

O R D
D t d B n g l th 6th June 1932



RAMA RAO Esq
D t o r f i d t d C m m d t n t y
B g l o (Indus)

B T KESAVIENGAR, ESQ, B A,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore

9/6/32
23/3/32
Water Supply from borehole at Chintamani

I have the honour to invite your kind reference to
my letter of even No dated 2/9-3-1932 and to request you
to kindly obtain the early orders of Government in the
matter

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

375
26510
Ramanand

For Director,
Absent from Head-quarters
on tour
mas

Subd line refer quoted refers
BV
to Channapattana I report from
the Oe. Alankar called for in NO
7105 of 19 6 32, which was not adopted
19/6/32

Channapattana 2
28/6/32

SUBJECT

Borewell at Behnagapur

Section *M*

File No *65-30*

Serial No

Diary No of letter repl ed to

No *28313* *Q 16* *6*
32

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 193

Date of issue

Signed by

To

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite your attention to this office no 7105 of 19 4 32 calling for a report on the present position ^{in regard to the} ~~of the~~ water supply arrangements in the Behnagapur Town and whether the scheme of supply from borewells is going to be pursued, and to request that the report called for ~~therein~~ may be expedited without any further delay

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Yours S S
11/6
To K V Aravindarajan Esqr B A
D C Blane
11/6/32

ML 65-30-24

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated Bangalore the 30th June 1932

I	all	ture	mm	t
N	pl	ase	q	t
E	02	-4839/31	-32	
T l Add - DEPCOM				
P i B N 34				

FROM

K V Anantaraman, Esq, B A ,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

GEN 84/2
4 JULY 1932
L & G BRANCH

To

R Ranga Rao, Esq, B A , B L ,
General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

RECEIVED
2 - JULY 1932
SECRETARY

Subject - Supply of water to Channapatna town by means of Bore wells

With reference to your letter No L 7105/Ml 65-30-67 dated 19-4-1932, enquiring what the present position is in respect of the subject noted above and whether the scheme of supply from borewells is going to be pursued and if so to suggest the ways and means, I have the honour to state as follows --

A sum of Rs 4000/- was sanctioned in G O No L 6256-9/Ml 65-30-3 dated 30-1-1931, out of which a sum of Rs 2000/- was drawn by the Municipality and paid to the Director of Industries and Commerce on 14-4-1931. Out of this amount of Rs 2000/- the Director of Industries and Commerce has actually spent Rs 997-1-4 for the bore wells and the balance of Rs 1002-14-8 is kept by him in deposit in the State Mutual Treasury. Adding to this the undrawn amount of Rs 2000/-, the amount available at present is reported to be Rs 3002-14-8 out of the sanctioned amount.

The Municipal Council at their meeting held on 3-3-1932 decided to work the bore well sunk beneath the Kunnirakatte and requested the Executive Sanitary Engineer to test the bore well with a view to find out if the well can yield an adequate supply of water. The Executive Sanitary Engineer with his letter No 1275 dated 2-6-1932 had sent an estimate for Rs 3900/- for installing a 12" stroke Mayer's deep well pump for lifting the water, a reservoir and the pipe line etc, and to place a sum of Rs 100/- to test the yield. He states that the work will be under

undertaken only if the yield is found to be adequate and desires
'municipality can find the
to know if the funds required as per his estimate

The Municipal President in his letter dated 31-5-1932 reports that the deposit of ₹ 100/- required by the Engineer was sent to him, that the Municipality has taken up the question of supplying water to the other part of the town, viz, Mangalavarpet Extension, which is estimated to cost ₹ 4000/-, that the Municipal Council at their meeting held on 29-5-1932, have resolved to meet half of this cost. As only a sum of ₹ 3002-14-8 is now available out of ₹ 4000/- already sanctioned for the Bore well near Kunnirakatte, an additional amount of ₹ 1000/- is required by the Municipality to work out the scheme

In view of the other scheme for the supply of water to Mangalavarpet extension to be presently taken up on hand, the Municipality plead their inability to provide ₹ 1000/- for the Bore well scheme. I request therefore that this additional amount may kindly be sanctioned to enable the installation of the pump etc, to the Bore well being completed early

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Ch. ...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

SUBJECT

Chennamabata - water supply

Section 2nd

File No 65-30

Serial No 25

Diary No of letter replied to

No 21.21

General and Revenue Secretariat

(1)

the 193

Date of issue

17/11/32

Signed by

M^r A S Rao

ref am, Mysore

with ref to ^{the} correspondence

ending with your letter no C. 2

4839 of 30-6-32 regarding

for an additional fund for water supply

for the installation of pump for the

at Chennamabata, under

Kalle, I am directed to state

that it is not possible for the

to give anything more than Rs

2000 which is the limit

of the fund. The balance of Rs 1000

is to be used for the purpose of

List of enclosures

and that the balance of Rs 1000

will have to be found by the

Municipal Council. Even the

said sum of Rs 2000 has

lapsed to govt and will have

Copied by

Examined by

Year This will be done

on hearing from you that the

sum of Rs 1000 referred to

Shame etc

10/11/32

14/11/32

Extra
L-3482

15th November 1932
17

The

General Department

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District, Bangalore

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No C 2 4839, dated 30th June 1932 requesting an additional contribution of Rs 1,000/- for the installation of a pump for the sinking borewell sunk beneath the Kunnirakatte at Channapatna I am directed to state that it is not possible for Government to give anything more than Rs 2,000/- which remains undrawn out of Rs 4,000/- sanctioned in Government Order No 6256-9/M1 65-30-3, dated 30th January 1931 and that the balance of Rs 1,000/- will have to be found by the Municipal Council. Even the said sum of Rs 2,000/- has lapsed to Government and will have to be allotted afresh this year. This will be considered on hearing from you that the Municipal Council will meet the sum of Rs 1,000/- referred to.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Am
for *Am* *15/11*
General Secretary to Government

Age/2

ml 65 30-26

I	ll	f	ture	mm	t
N	CE	4839/31-32			
E		Plan and Estimate			
T l Add - DECOM					
P t B N 34					

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated Bangalore the 17/21st November 1932

FROM

K V Anantaraman, Esqr, B A ,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
GENERAL SECRETARY
26 NOV 1932
SIR

H V Ramaswami, Esqr, A , B L ,
General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

REV SECTY
2447
28 NOV 1932
I. S. & B. B. B.

Subject —

In continuation of this Office letter to C2 4839/31-32 dated 2nd July 1932, re the supply of water to Channapatna Town by means of Bore Wells, I have the honour to state as follows —

In connection with the permanent Water Supply Scheme, the Municipal President of Channapatna has submitted a revised plan and estimate prepared by the Sanitary Engineer. The revised estimate for this water supply scheme is for Rs 53,400/- and provides for laying of the main line and 30 public taps as a first stage. The Municipality has collected only Rs 550/- from public subscriptions so far, and is not hopeful of collecting any more. The Municipal council has approved the revised estimate. Copy of their resolution dated 25-10-1932 is enclosed.

The Sanitary Engineer in his letter dated 28-9-1932 to the Municipal President, proposes that the Government share of Rs 23,400/- be met in the shape of loan of cast iron pipes for Rs 21,000/- and that tools and plant charges to the extent of Rs 7,400/- being waived. The Municipal Council in their resolution dated 25-10-32, are not agreeable for applying for a loan of Rs 21,000 from Government. They request for a grant of Rs 21,000/- from Government and for waiving the balance of Rs 7,400 on account of tools and plant charges.

With regard to the Municipality's share of contribution they propose to meet their share of Rs 23,000/- from the sources noted below —

1) Rs 10,000, from funds invested in War Loan Bonds, which are held as security by the Comptroller for the loan of Rs 8000, taken by the Municipality, for building their Town Hall Only a sum of Rs 3000/- has been repaid towards this loan

2) Rs 10,000, from the reserve fund of their Co-operative societies at Rs 500/- ⁵⁰⁰ from each Society It is reported that the Registrar of Co-operative Societies objects that more than 15 per cent of the reserve cannot be operated upon as per rules. The reserve fund now at the credit of both the Societies is reported to be Rs 21,000/- The amount that can be paid by the Societies will therefore be only Rs 3150/-

3) Rs 8000/- anticipated by the Municipality from the sale of building sites


Rs 29,000/- Total

In accordance with the Municipal Council's resolution dated 25-10-32 the Municipal President in his letter No 69/32-33 dated 27-10-32 (copy enclosed) requests for the release by Government of the loan bonds for Rs 10,000/- held as security for the loan of Rs 8000/- already taken and that the Co-operative Societies, may as a special case be permitted to pay their grant of Rs 10, from their reserve funds, as per enclosed copies of the resolution passed by the Societies

I request that the prayer of the Municipal Council may be kindly viewed sympathetically and that orders of Government be obtained in the matter

The estimate for Rs. 56400/- prepared by the Executive Surveying Engineer together with the plan etc, is enclosed for favour of reference and return

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant


Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

V.V 24-11-32

Appendix F G-1]

SUBJECT

Nary No of letter repl ed to

General and Revenue Secretariat

(4)

the 193

Date of issue

24/1/33

Signed by

Mr. M.S.K.

List of enclosures

Copied by

MS/23/1/33

Examined by

Section ml

File No 65-30

Serial No 27

No L 4781/Encl-Records

To The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

Sir,

With reference to
the correspondence with
your letter no C2,48,
dated the 17th Nov^r 1932
submitting ²¹ for orders a
revised ^{estimate} scheme for Rs 56,400,
for the water supply scheme
to the Chennopatra Dam,
I am directed to state
that on financial
considerations it may not
be possible to sanction
the scheme. I am to request
you to be so good in the

~~circumstances~~ as to intimate in
consultation with the Municipal
Council, whether it would not be

better to press forward the
scheme referred to in the office
letter no 2 3487 Rd 65-30-25

dated the 17th Nov 1922 regarding the installation
of a pump for the borewell sunk beneath the Kummurakatte at
the enclosures received with the Bhannopatra

your letter under reply no
herewith returned

I have ch

Md
for final seg of the
Ina

Extra

L 4781/Enclosures

23rd January 1933
24

The

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 62-4839/31-32 dated the 17th/21st November 1932 submitting for orders a revised estimate for Rs 56,400/- for water supply to ~~xx~~ Chennapatna Town, I am directed to state that, on financial considerations, it may not be possible to sanction the scheme. I am to request you to be so good as to intimate in consultation with the Municipal Council, whether it would not be better to press forward the scheme referred to in this office letter No L 3432/11 65-30-25 dated the 1/11th November 1932 regarding the installation of a pump for the borewell ~~bank~~ beneath the Punnirakatte at Channapatna.

The enclosures received with your letter under reply are herewith returned

I have the honour to l
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. S. K.
General Secretary to Government
from

Me 65-30-28.

I	ll	tar	mm	t
N	pl	as	q	t
E	C2-4839/31-32			
(de no 3)				
Tl Add - DEPCOM				
P t B N 34				

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated Bangalore the 6th June 1933

5658
L

FROM K V Anantaraman, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To H V Ramaswami, Esq , M A , B L ,
General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

SIR

Subject —

With reference to your letter No L 4781/Ml 65-30-27 dated 24-1-1933 requesting me to intimate in consultation with the Chennapatna Municipal Council whether it would not be better to press forward the scheme of the installation of a pump for the borewell sunk beneath the Munnirkatte at Chennapatna for water supply to Chennapatna town, I have the honour to state that the Council to whom the matter was referred, in their meeting held on 16th May 1933 have resolved to take up the water supply scheme costing Rs 56400/- recommended in this office letter No C2-4839/31 32 dated 17/21st November 1932. The Municipality has made certain proposals for finding their share of the cost and these proposals are under examination.

The file of records received with Chennapatna Municipal President's report No 173/32-33 dated 24-5-1933 is herewith sent for reference and return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. V. Anantaraman

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

K. V.

SS/-

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

	Section	File No	Serial No
Diary No of letter replied to	No	2409	
To	The Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt		
General and Revenue Secretariat	Sr		
()			
the 193			
Date of issue	12/10/22		
Signed by	Mr A Subba Rao		
List of enclosures			
Copied by			
Examined by			

With reference to the correspondence
 ending with your letter no C2-4839/31-32
 of 6-6-33 stating that the proposals of the
 Channapurna Hill for financing the
 Water Supply Scheme for the town, are under
 examination, I am directed to request
 you to kindly intimate how the matter
 stands at present and when the final
 proposals might be expected in this office.

A very early reply is requested.

I have
 Appd 10/10/22 J. J. J. J. J.

In all future mm t
please quote
N C24839/31-32
E

Tl Add - DEPCOM
P t B N 34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated Bangalore the 28th October 1933

MR 65-50-29

2-21 SEC

2 NOV 1933
16.15

FROM

K V Anantaraman Esq B A ,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

TO

H V Ramaswami, Esq M A B L
General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

SIR

Subject —

With reference to your letter No L 2409/11 65-30- dated the 12th October

1933 in the matter of financing the water supply scheme at Channapatna by the
Municipal Council I have the honour to state that the revised estimate for this
water supply scheme is Rs 56 400/- the Municipality will have to contribute a sum
of Rs 28 000/- in addition to the Government share of Rs 28 400/- as proposed by the
Sanitary Engineer in his letter dated 28-9-32 to the Municipal President Chennapatna
the Municipal Council propose to meet their share of Rs 28 000/- as noted
below as already reported in this office letter no C2 4839/31-32 dated 26-11-32

1 From funds invested in War Loan Bonds which are held as security by the
Comptroller for the loan of Rs 8000/- taken by the Municipality for building their
Town Hall (Only a sum of Rs 4000/- has been repaid towards this loan)

2 From the Reserve Fund of their Co-operative Societies at Rs 5000/- from
each of the 2 Societies (It is reported that the Registrar of Co-operative Societies
objects that more than 15% of the reserve fund cannot be operated upon as per Rules
The Reserve Fund now at the credit of both the Societies is reported to be Rs 21 000/-
The Amount that can be paid by the Societies will therefore be only Rs 3150/-)

3 Amount anticipated by the Municipality from the sale of building sites
The Council expect the sum of Rs 8000/- mentioned in Sl no 3 from the sale of the
sites in the Karabala maidan Final orders of Government regarding the disposal
of the said sites may kindly be obtained early and communicated to this office as
already requested in this Office letter No C2 3649/32-33 dated the 7th August 1933

I request you to kindly obtain orders of Government for sympathetically
considering the requests of the Municipal Council for the release by Government of
the loan bonds for Rs 10 000/- held as security for the loan of Rs 8000/- already taken
by the Council for the construction of the Town Hall and for permitting the Co-

operative

Co-operative Societies as a special case to contribute Rs 5000/- from each of the Societies from the reserve fund as already requested in this office letter No C2 4839/31-32 dated the 26th November 1933 (F.R.)

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,



FOR DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT
(ABSENT FROM STATION)



SVS 30-10-33

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

~~(Continuation sheet draft form)~~

L4096/ 65-30
8630

15.2.33
Encl. record.

To
The Secy, Bangalore DC
Sir,
With reference to the notice
concerning work for the year
C 2 4839/31-32 dt 28-10-32
re the Channarayana water supply
estimated to cost Rs 56,400/-
Scheme & I am directed to request
you to be so good as to intimate
what the ^{annual} maintenance charges
of the scheme are and how
the same will be paid to meet
this charge. A yearly report is requested
the records accompanying
I have etc

Yrs
14/12/32
Sd/-
Sd/-
Sd/-

Small future communication
please quote
No. 2. 4839/22-33
E 7014
Tl Add ss - DEPCOM
Post B N 84

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated, Bangalore the 23rd January 1934

FROM

K V Anantaraman Esq B A
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

H V Ramaswami Esq M A B L
General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
B a n g a l o r e

3523

GEN & REV
25 JAN 1934
L & B BRANCH

GENERAL SECRETARY
24 JAN 1934
No. 259

Subject —

With reference to your letter No L 4096/11 65-30-30 dated the 15th December 1933 I have the honour to state that the approximate cost of maintenance of the scheme of water supply to the Chennapatna Town has been estimated by the Sanitary Engineer Bureau of Sanitary Engineering at Rs. 4600/- per year (Vide copy of his letter No 1478 dated the 2nd instant enclosed) The Municipal Council which was consulted as regards the ways and means of meeting this expenditure have resolved that the cost may be met as follows:-

- 1 Revision of house tax which is expected to yield an additional revenue of Rs. 2500/-
 - 2 Levy of a water cess of 3 annas on every rupee of assessment which is estimated to yield about Rs. 1650/- and
 - 3 Levying fees on mutton and vegetable stalls from which they expect an income of Rs. 1000/- per year
- Copy of the letter from the President Municipal Council together with an extract of the resolution of the Municipal Council accompanies for reference

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

U. Venkatesh Ramani

FOR DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT
ABSE T FROM STATION)

SVS 23-1-34

D O letter No 1478 dated 2nd January 1934 from the Sanitary Engineer,
Bureau of Sanitary Engineering, Bangalore to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore
District Bangalore


--:oOo:--

In reply to your D O dated 27-12-1933 I have herein enclosed an
estimate for Annual Maintenance charges for Chennapatna Water Works The
charges are calculated on the assumption of working about 12 hours per day
the consumption allowed being about 72 000 gallons per day

The estimate &c, sent by you is returned herewith

-:oOo:-

*C o p y


FOR DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT


SVS 23-1-34

PROPOSED WATER SUPPLY TO CHENNAIPATNA TOWN

APPROXIMATE ESTIMATE FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF THE SCHEME PER YEAR

I STAFF -

	Rs.
(1) One Operator on Rs 25/- per mensem	300
(2) One Fitter on Rs 15/-	180
(3) One Watchman on Rs 10/-	120
(4) One Cleaner on Rs 10/-	120
Total	720/-

II Power ETC -

(1) Cost of power working on an average 12 hours per day - 150 units at 1 anna per unit	3500/-
(2) Lubricants etc	150/-
(3) Repairs and renewals including public taps	200/-
(4) Sundry expenses	30/-
Total	3980/-
Grand total per year (3 880+720) =	4600/-

The average consumption per day - 72000 gallons

Sd/-B R Garudachar 2-1-34

Sanitary Engineer
Bureau of Sanitary Engineering

C O P Y

FOR DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANALORE DISTRICT

SVS 23-1-34

Letter No 99/33-34 dated 7-1-34 from the President Town Municipal Council,
Channapatna to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

--:oOo:--

Water supply to Channapatna

--:oOo:--

With reference to your letter No C2 4939/31-32 ~~dated~~ forwarding an estimate amounting to Rs 4600/- towards the maintenance charges of the water supply to Channapatna Town the matter was placed before the Council on 7-1-34 and they have resolved that the annual maintenance charges to be met from the following sources:-

1 House tax Revision: The increase anticipated is Rs 2500/- This is based upon the figures now arrived at from the revision of houses effected in 20% of the houses in the towns

2 It is proposed to levy water cess at 0-3-0 annas per rupee This source is expected to yield about Rs 1650/-

The balance is proposed to be paid from tollgate collections The amount necessary will be set apart from these collections

3 The Municipal Council has also resolved to levy fees on proposed mutton and vegetable stalls the annual yield from which will be about Rs 1000/- The difficulty in this case is about the capital needed for building the stalls If the collection of arrears were to be substantial it is proposed to meet this capital amount out of this collections If this is not possible the Municipal Council has an idea of approaching the Government for a loan of Rs 4000/-

Under these circumstances the scheme may kindly be sanctioned and it is possible to meet the annual maintenance charges on the lines suggested above

A copy of the Council resolution is herewith submitted for favour of kind perusal

--:oOo:--

C O P Y

FBR DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

SVS 23-1-34

167

1934 నేటి కలనవి ఉనవరి మాజేయ 7 నేరి కారెఖు గానువార బోర్డు
9 జెంఱిగో సడినద స్వేచ్ఛలు మోఱంస్ ప్రోలెనిరిరింగ్

present

T Rangaswami Rao B A
president

7) కలఱవర్ నెరు సరబరాయి విశార
దల్లి నాలయాన కగలువ రిక్ రింగ్
జొఱున్ 4600/- యింక అందా
ఁ ఆగిరువు దన్ను యా మునిసి
పాలఱయవరు వప్పు క్తాళియాల
మక్తయూవ రికి యా మోబలగ
న్న ఁదగినుక్తాళింక 4 134 నే
మర్కం C2 4839 31 32 నేనాల్
లోఱరు ఁందిర కర్క్ విశార

8) కిర్కబిరమి వర్ నరు నాడేరి
ఁరవర లోఱరు మక్త అందాఁ
ఁ దలాయితు వ్దక్కా యిదర
రి నమూది ని ~~క~~ కర్క్ పిఱం
గళింద యా వార్క్ న్న మోఱ
మా డ ఁ రు డింకలూ (1) కివి
ఁర్ నింద 2500/- (2) నెరు
నేన్ని నింద రూపాయి 1 క్క్
0-3-0 ఆ ఱోర్ ఆ నింద
1250/- (3) మక్త మర్క్ మక్త
వోఱిఱ ఁల్ క్కాల్ నింద 1000/-
రూ నంకో మోఱ మా డువురర
మూల్క మోబలగు ఁదగి నఁ
ఁరు డింకలూ రిరి మోని నలాయితు

7/ 7/ 34
Channafatra

(sd) T Rangaswami
president

copy

(sd) T Rangaswami
president

copy

Vinay Kumar
for deputy commissioner
Bangalore District
B

DEWANS MINUTE

FILE No

193

DEWANS OFFICE
11 10 1933

Channapatna Water-Supply Scheme

1) Is it pending in the Secretariat?

1608
L

General Secretary)

9/11

6 10 33

note
L.S.G. 6/10
HH
7/10

- 2) The committee file no 65-30-28 is pending for decision.
- 3) In the letter no C 2 4839 of 21-11-32, the Dy Commr, Bangalore forwarded for sanction an estimate for Rs 58,400 for a scheme of water supply to Channapatna Town and recommended that half the cost of the scheme may be met by grant. The Dy Commr was informed in this case letter no 4781 of 24-1-33 that on financial considerations, it may not be possible to sanction the scheme and he was asked to intimate

Chennapattinam water supply
1608

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

of 193

[App F III-2

Serial
No

in consultation with the mlec whether
it would not be better to press forward
the scheme regarding the installation of
a pump for the borewell sunk beneath
the Kummakatte at Chennapattinam (costing
about Rs 4000)

May 2

4) The dep comm has reported that
the mlec have resolved to take up the
water supply scheme costing Rs 56400,
and that the mlec has made certain
proposals for funding their share of the
cost and their proposals are under examina-
tion. The further communication of the dep comm
in the matter is awaited.

GENERAL SECRETARY
11 OCT 1933
No. ---

W/ro 18/10/10

Gen'l Secy)

W/ro 11/10

5) The draft letter to the Dy Comm, Palani as directed by
the Gen'l Secy may be worded as under & the reply

~~Received~~

No. 2409 of 12 10 33 to the Dy Comm, Bangalore
requesting him to intimate how the proposals for financing the water supply
scheme stands & when final proposals are expected in this office.

NOTL—Contn Sheet

Chemmapatna

File No

1608

of 193

General and R
Secretaria.

6) Remind

Genl Secy)

Am
17/10

DEWANS OFFICE
2439
13 OCT 1933

7) The Dy Commr has reported
that the Chemmapatna TFC Council
are in favour of a scheme
costing Rs 56,400 & have made
proposals for funding their
share of the cost which
are under examination by him.
He has been reminded in the
matter and after his proposals
are received, the question will
be worked up further.
(Dewan)

12/10/33

13/10

Am
13-10-33

The DC may be reminded
again by letter of this matter
if no reply is received by then
14/10

Am
14/10

(To be written on this margin)

GEN 2028
1
L.B.C. EMBL

NOTF

Dated 1st November 1932

General Secretary
with compliments

It regret, please

RZ
1.1.12
Ann
1/11

Mr AA
1/11/32

There is the cat
for 1 cat
a new 9
for 10
it

Immediately
was asked

The revised scheme for B \$8000
has not yet been received. It seems
for B \$6000 for water supply system
a borewell construction was recommended
here with a report for a fresh grant
future budget. The committee bill
^{is before the II Member}
(O no 84) has been sent to them.
~~Admission~~ on 13-8-1932

GENERAL SECRETARY

Returned today with
order of \$14/11/32

20
11

Gen' Lee)

RR new 119 62

L 5945 - 43Ml 65-30-33
Q 5-2-34
Proceedings of the Government of H. M.
the Maharaja of Mysore

Read -

puD

Correspondence ending with letter
No C 2 4839/31-32 dated 28th October 1933
from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore
District forwarding the proposals made by
the Channarayana Municipal Council for finan-
cing the Water Supply Scheme of the town
estimated to cost Rs 56 400 and requesting
sanction to the Scheme and the proposals of
the Municipal Council for financing it

2 Further correspondence ending with
letter No C 2-4839/31-32 dated 23rd Jan'y
1934 from the same officer regarding the
annual maintenance charges of the scheme

Order No *L 5940 - 43*
Dated *5-2-34*

The proposals of the Municipal Council
to meet the capital cost of the Water Supply
Scheme are -

(i) That Government may contribute

half the cost viz Rs 28 200

(ii) That the other half be met as

follows -

(a) Rs 10 000 from the release
and sale of the War Loan
Bonds owned by the Munici-
pality and now held as
security for the loan of
Rs 8 000 granted by the

Government to the Municipality
for the construction of the
Town Hall

(b) Rs 10 000 - From the reserve
funds of the two Co-operative
Societies in the town which
have agreed to contribute the
sum at Rs 5 000 each and

(c) Rs 8 000 - From the sale of
building sites in the town

2 The annual maintenance charges of the
Scheme to be met by the Municipality are esti-
mated to ~~come to~~ ^{at} Rs 4 600 which the Municipal
Council propose to meet from the following
additional sources of revenue -

(i) Revision of House Tax Rs 2 500

(ii) Levy of water rate at
3 annas in the rupee
of assessment " 1 650

(iii) Levy of fees on Lutton
and Vegetable Stalls " 1 000

Rs 5 150

in view
3 Government are pleased to sanction ^{estimated to cost Rs 56,700}
the Water Supply Scheme and to direct that

Out of this amount sum the Water Supply Scheme and to direct that
Cost of the Establishment &
its cost exclusive of the Establishment and
Tools and Plant charges amounting to Rs 7,400
Rs 7,400 The ^{the} request which are hereby waived be met as follows -
that these may be waived in
few of the financial portion
the Council the Govt are
as a spl case to
the charges
as estimate with
as follows

(1) Government will bear half the cost
viz Rs 24 500, and pay towards
this sum Rs 5 500 this year, Out

in consultation with
the General Manager,
Phadrawah Iron Works,
be arranged to be

of the Town Improvement Grant and the
balance during the next ^{2 or 3} ~~four~~ years

The cast-iron pipes required may ^{be} ~~be~~
purchased on credit from the ~~Bhadra-~~
~~vati Iron Works~~ and the purchase money
paid to them in annual instalments out
of the Government contribution

(2) The proposals of the Municipal
Council for meeting their share of the
cost are accepted For this purpose
the release of the War Loan Bonds
taken as security for ^{the} loan for the
Town Hall construction in G.O No L
6457-60/Ml 168-25-16 dated 16th April
1928 is approved in view of the fact
that the loan is reduced by one half
and the instalments are being paid
regularly Necessary action should
be taken early to sell the bonds and
also obtain the contribution of the
Co-operative Societies in consulta-
tion with the Registrar of Co-opera-
tive Societies Government having
sanctioned the sale of the building
sites referred to ^{above} in their order No
4972-3/³⁻³²⁻⁴⁷ dated 6-1-34,
the Municipal Council should take
steps to sell the sites and realise
the sale price early

File 65
Lm file
rel 3-32

4 The Director of Health is requested
to arrange for the work being taken in hand
and finished as early as possible

5 The President of the Municipal Council
should be asked to see that the revision of

assessment is completed without delay and that action is taken immediately for the levy of water rate and ~~the~~ fees on mutton and vegetable stalls so that there may be no difficulty in meeting the annual maintenance charges

14/12
25/1 General Secy to Govt
25/1

To

The Director of Health

The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore Dist

The Comptroller to Government, *through*
the Financial Secretariat

Regr of Co-op Societies

Psk